UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,388	12/19/2005	Toshiyuki Komatsu	IS-US030796	6159
	7590 07/31/2007 OLINISELOPS LLP		EXAMINER	
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700			GIBSON, RANDY W	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-2680		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/561,388	KOMATSU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Randy W. Gibson	2841				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
' =	·	–· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u></u>	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	•	ır.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·.				
12)⊠	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		n(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/19/05&11/15/06</u> .	6) Other: _					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is too generic to be descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 19 December 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. The Japanese language search report is NOT considered to be an explanation. It has been placed in the application file, but some of the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2841

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (US # 5,445,261) in view of Sahlberg (US # 5,467,859). Kimura disclose transport apparatus including a trough (17), a first protruding part (22a), a reciprocating mechanism (42), and a depressed part (20a). Kimura disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation that the vibration is asymmetric. Sahlberg teach that it is known to vibrate a conveyor such that it accelerates faster in one direction than the other in order to insure that the material moves in one direction only (Col. 2, lines 15-30). It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to use an asymmetric drive means, as suggested by Sahlberg, for the vibrational conveyor of Kimura for efficiency.

The limitations in some of the claims that mention various alternative locations for the protruding and depressed parts (on the side, facing certain directions, etc.) do not add any patentable weight since it would have been obvious to simply relocate parts.

See *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950); and, *MPEP* § 2144.04.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,388

Art Unit: 2841

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841